

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,257	11/25/2003	Takayuki Wagu	02410283US	4101
7	590 04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
McGuire Woods LLP			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
Suite 1800 1750 Tysons Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tysons Corner			3683	
McLean, VA	22102-4215		DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/720,257	WAGU ET AL.	Se			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher P. Schwartz	3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	p ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondenc address				
THE   - Extermine after - If the - If NC - Failur Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) [ 6) [ 7) [	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).			
	•	xamilier. Note the attached Office	; Action of form P1O-152.				
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Applicate  Inity documents have been receive  In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  In of the certified copies not receive	ion No ed in this National Stage	Shirt Report of the second			
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (R) Resident	danner.			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

## Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification contains numerous grammatical and idiomatic errors as it appears to be a literal translation of the foreign document. A substitute specification and abstract should be submitted.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1 line 12 "normally open **type"** is indefinite. What are the metes and bounds of "type"?

Line 20 "the brake fluid pressure maintaining state" lacks antecedent basis.

Line 21 "the brake fluid pressure "no" maintaining state lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,257

Art Unit: 3683

Other problems exist due to the idiomatic errors mentioned above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1- 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fong et al.

Regarding claim 1, as best understood, and subject to the 112's above Fong et al. meets the claimed requirements. See column 3 lines 46-48, col. 5 lines 46-47 and col 6 in its entirety. Note the check valve at 50, the fixed core at 23 and the movable core at 80.

Regarding claims 2,3, as broadly claimed, these requirements are met.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/720,257

Art Unit: 3683

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fong et al. in view of Akamatsu et al..

Regarding claim 4 Fong et al. lacks a showing of the recessed and projecting portions formed on the fixed and movable cores.

Akamatsu et al. Is relied upon to teach this arrangement in figure 2 in the area of 41.43.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have utilized such an arrangement as taught by Akamatsu et al. in the device of Fong et al., simply as an alternative equivalent arrangement to that of Fong et al as both arrangements will achieve the same function.

Applicants lack any criticality in the specification for the claimed arrangement.

Regarding claim 5 these requirements are met at 50.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,257

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 4/22/04